



Sh. Baldev Singh, (9814715043)

S/o Late Sh. Sarvan Singh House No 933/7 A,
Street No 5, New Deep Nagar, Civil Lines,Appellant/Complainant
Ludhiana-141001

Versus

Public Information Officer

HRC Branch, O/o DC ,Gurdaspur.Respondent

First Appellate Authority

O/o ADC, Gurdaspur.

Appeal Case No. 3566 of 2020

Present: Appellant: Absent

Respondent: Sh. Raj Kumar (Clerk) 8360033236

ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 18.01.2021 vide which the Commission has directed the appellant to provide copy of both side of the stamp paper and the respondent authority was directed to supply the information accordingly.
2. An email dated 18.02.2021 from the respondent authority wherein it is mentioned that with the compliance of previous order dated 18.01.2021 the appellant has failed to provide both side copy of stamp paper, that's why sought information cannot be supplied to the appellant.
3. After deliberations, the commission observes that PIO should have made efforts to provide the information asked for instead of rejecting the applications on ground that the applicant had not provide the details. Therefore, the respondent is directed to provide sought information before the next date of hearing. Failing to which action under section 20(1) of RTI act will be initiated.
4. To come up on 22.03.2021 at 11.30 PM to be heard at PSIC, Sector-16 Chandigarh.

Chandigarh
Dated: 24.02.2021

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commission

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Sanjeev Thakur, (Press Reporter), (8968084100)

VPO Madhopur Cant-145024

.....Appellant/Complainant

Distt. Gurdaspur

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o EO, MC, Dhariwal,

.....Respondent

Gurdaspur

First Appellate Authority

O/o Deputy Director, Local Bodies, Amritsar.

Appeal Case No. 3590 of 2020

Present: Appellant: Sh. Sanjeev Thakur (On telephone Call)

Respondent: Absent

ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 18.01.2021 vide which both the parties were absent and via email the respondent submitted the sought information has been supplied to the applicant dated 30.09.2020 vide letter reference no. 536. Accordingly the appellant was ordered/advised to point out discrepancies (in written form) if any in the information so supplied by the respondent.
2. Appellant, Sh. Sanjeev Thakur telephonically contended that "only a single page (letter dated 30.09.2020) was received from the respondent authority which is irrelevant to the information sought by him".
3. The Commission is in receipt of a communication dated 23.02.2021 from PIO, Sh. Baljit Singh wherein he has requested the Commission to adjourn the hearing as his elder brother has passed away few days back. In addition to this it is also mentioned that the sought information has already been supplied to the appellant. This email is taken on record.
4. An email dated 23.02.2021 from the respondent PIO is received by the bench of undersigned wherein he has requested for an adjournment. This email is taken on record.
5. The Commission while condoning the presence of the respondent PIO has given another opportunity to both the parties to present the case on the next date of hearing positively, falling to which the case would be decided on merit basis.
6. To come up on 22.03.2021 at 11.30 PM to be heard at PSIC, Sector-16 Chandigarh.

Sd/-

Chandigarh

Dated: 24.02.2021

(Maninder Singh Patti)

State Information Commission

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Sanjeev Thakur, (Press Reporter), (8968084100)

VPO Madhopur Cant-145024

.....Appellant/Complainant

Distt. Gurdaspur

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o EO, MC, Sujanpur, Pathankot

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority

O/o Deputy Director, Local Bodies, Amritsar.

Appeal Case No. 3591 of 2020

Present: Appellant: Sh. Sanjeev Thakur (On telephone Call)

Respondent: Sh. Vijay Sagar (PIO) (9877146014)

ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 18.01.2021 vide which both the parties were absent and via email the respondent submitted the sought information has been supplied to the applicant dated 28.09.2020 vide letter reference no. 1139. Accordingly the appellant was ordered/advised to point out discrepancies (in written form) if any in the information so supplied by the respondent.
2. Appellant, Sh. Sanjeev Thakur telephonically contended that no information has been received on the letter cited by the respondent authority. A letter dated 17.02.2021 vide diary no. 3708 from the appellant has been received in the commission wherein the matter is written in detail. This letter is taken on record.
3. Respondent, Sh. Vijay Sagar pleaded that the sought has already been supplied and he further added that the appellant was requested to inspect the exact documents that he may indicate but he never turned up.
4. In view of the above observations, the PIO is directed to provide an additional reply to the appellant on all of the points raised in the RTI application with a copy to the Commission.

Another opportunity is given to both the parties to present the case on next date of hearing positively, failing to which the case would be decided on merit basis.

7. To come up on 22.03.2021 at 11.30 PM to be heard at PSIC, Sector-16 Chandigarh.

Sd/-

(Maninder Singh Patti)

State Information Commission

Chandigarh

Dated: 24.02.2021

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Rajinder Singh, (8288869307)

S/o Sh. Om Kumar VPO Kot Fatuhi,
Tehsil Garhshankar,
Distt Hoshiarpur-144519.

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o SE, Construction Circle, PWD B&R,
Sangrur.

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority

O/o Secretary, PWD B&R, PB,
Chandigarh.

Appeal Case No. 3606 of 2020

Present: Appellant :Sh. Rajinder Singh (On telephone Call)

Respondent: Sh. Ajay Garg (APIO) 9888079005

ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 18.01.2021 vide which both the parties were absent. the case is adjourned with the directions to respondent PIO, to file a reply / supply information before the next date of hearing .Accordingly, the case is fixed for today.
2. Appellant, Sh. Rajinder Singh telephonically contend that no information has been supplied so far.
3. Respondent, Sh. Ajay Garg pleaded that the sought information can be supplied to the appellant on payment of requisite fees for documents comprising 4000pages (approx.).
4. After hearing both the parties and going through the case file it transpired that **the factual position has been brought to the notice of the appellant accordingly the appellant asked to pay the fees that are liable.**

On other hand the respondent authority is directed to mention if any additional fees are liable to be paid by the appellant, inform him how much he has to pay, how the amount was calculated and how he has to remit the amount. Do not simply say information will be supplied on payment of requisite fees. Do not say "Pay Rs 2 per page" without intimating for how many pages he has to pay.

5. To come up on 22.03.2021 at 11.30 PM to be heard at PSIC, Sector-16 Chandigarh.

Sd/-

Chandigarh

Dated: 24.02.2021

(Maninder Singh Patti)

State Information Commission

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.**

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Lakhvir Kumar, (9872515368)

S/o Sh. Jaspal Ram President Punjab Societies againstAppellant/Complainant
Corruption and Crime Branch,
Village Gandawa, Tehsil Fatehgarh,
Distt. Kapurthala

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o XEN, Provincial Division, PWD B&R,
Amritsar

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority

Cum O/o SE Construction Circle, PWD B&R,
Amritsar

Appeal Case No. 3624 of 2020

Present: Appellant: Sh. Lakhvir Kumar (On telephone call)
Respondent : Absent

ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 25.01.2021 vide which both the parties were absent and the Commission directed the respondent PIO, to file a reply / supply information before the next date of hearing. Accordingly, the case is fixed for today.
2. Appellant, Sh. Lakhvir Kumar telephonically states that no information has been supplied so far by the respondent authority.
3. Viewing the absence and non-implementation of the order of the commission O/o XEN, Provincial Division, PWD B&R, Amritsar, seriously, the PIO is thus issued a show cause notice under Section 20 of the RTI Act 2005 and directed to file reply. On other hand, the appellant is hereby, directed to revert back to the authorities in case of deficiencies, if any, in the information so supplied by the respondent before the next date of hearing.
4. To come up on 22.03.2021 at 11.30 PM to be heard at PSIC, Sector-16 Chandigarh.

Chandigarh

Dated: 24.02.2021

Sd/-

(Maninder Singh Patti)

State Information Commission

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Lakhvir Kumar, (9872515368)

S/o Sh. Jaspal Ram, President Punjab Societies

Against Corruption and Crime Branch,

.....Appellant/Complainant

Village Gandawa, Tehsil Fatehgarh,

Distt. Kapurthala

Versus

Public Information Officer

.....Respondent

O/o XEN, Provincial Division, PWD B&R,

Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority

cum SE, Construction Circle, PWD B&R,

Chandigarh

Appeal Case No. 3627of 2020

Present: Appellant: Sh. Lakhvir Kumar (On telephone call)

Respondent : Absent

ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 25.01.2021 vide which both the parties were absent and the Commission directed the respondent PIO, to file a reply / supply information before the next date of hearing. Accordingly, the case is fixed for today.
2. Appellant, Sh. Lakhvir Kumar telephonically states that no information has been supplied so far by the respondent authority.
3. Viewing the absence and non-implementation of the order of the commission O/o XEN, Provincial Division, PWD B&R, Chandigarh, seriously, the PIO is thus issued a show cause notice under Section 20 of the RTI Act 2005 and directed to file reply. On other hand, the appellant is hereby, directed to revert back to the authorities in case of deficiencies, if any, in the information so supplied by the respondent before the next date of hearing.
4. To come up on 22.03.2021 at 11.30 PM to be heard at PSIC, Sector-16 Chandigarh.

Sd/-

Chandigarh

Dated: 24.02.2021

(Maninder Singh Patti)

State Information Commission